# Bringing OOP Best Practices to the World of Functional Programming

Elana Hashman Computer Science Club – Fall 2016

```
$ whoami
ehashman
$ ldapsearch -x uid=ehashman
# extended LDIF
#
# LDAPv3
# base <dc=csclub, dc=uwaterloo, dc=ca> (default) with scope subtree
# filter: uid=ehashman
# requesting: ALL
# ehashman, People, csclub.uwaterloo.ca
dn: uid=ehashman,ou=People,dc=csclub,dc=uwaterloo,dc=ca
uid: ehashman
cn: Elana Hashman
loginShell: /bin/bash
uidNumber: 21685
gidNumber: 21685
homeDirectory: /users/ehashman
program: Alumni
term: f2010
. . .
term: w2018
objectClass: account
objectClass: member
objectClass: posixAccount
objectClass: shadowAccount
objectClass: top
```

## **DESIGN PATTERNS**





# Functional programming? Object-oriented programming?

# **Programming Paradigms**

- What is Object-Oriented Programming (OOP)?
  - Program logic is organized around *objects*, which store data as *properties* and algorithms for the data as *methods*
  - Objects are described by *classes*, which can inherit from one another
  - Common recipes/best practices are codified as *design* patterns
- Examples
  - C++, Java, C#, Python

# **Programming Paradigms**

- What is Functional Programming (FP)?
  - Logic is codified and evaluated as pure functions, avoiding the mutation of state
  - Commonly characterized by the use of *first-order functions*, which can be passed around as arguments, and *higher-order functions*, which take functions as arguments
- Examples
  - Lisp (with various dialects, such as Racket), Haskell,
     OCaml, F#

# The fateful interview

# "Programming Tropes"

#### a.k.a. DESIGN PATTERNS



# What is "good code?"

# "I can't tell you what bad code looks like, but *I know it when I see it*." - Anonymous Programmer

# Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY) Ya Ain't Gonna Need It (YAGNI) Keep It Simple, Senator (KISS)





(defn common-logic
 [data case-specific-logic]
 ...)

(**defn** data1-specific-logic [args] ...)

(**defn** data2-specific-logic [args] ...)

(for [[data fn] data-and-fns]
 (common-logic data fn))

Different programming paradigms are not as different as you might think.

II. Different programming paradigms *do* have different design philosophies.

# "It is better to have 100 functions operate on one data structure than 10 functions on 10 data structures." - Alan J. Perlis

# III. No paradigm is objectively "better," but each has advantages in certain situations.

A common critique of the "Gang of Four" 1995 *Design Patterns* book was that many of the patterns served as workarounds for language limitations of C++. In 1996, Peter Norvig claims that 16 of the 23 patterns in the Gang of Four book are invisible or simplified in Lisp!

- Abstract Factory, Flyweight, Factory Method, State, Proxy, Chain of Responsibility → first-class types
- Command, Strategy, Template, Visitor → first-class functions
- Interpreter, Iterator  $\rightarrow$  macros
- Mediator, Observer → method combination
- Builder → multimethods
- Façade → modules

Functional programming language features can **reduce the need** to use fully-implemented class-based design patterns.

# Some Notable FP Language Features

# Immutability

- What is it?
  - Data cannot change state after its creation
  - Functions cannot have "side effects"
- Improves ability to reason about code
- Simplifies unit testing
- Discourages the use of global mutable state, i.e.
   Singleton pattern

# **First-Class Functions**

#### • What are they?

- Functions can be passed as arguments
- Lexical scoping allows for local bindings of values
- Currying gives us function Factories
   ((fn [a] (fn [b] (does-stuff a b))) "yo")
   => (fn [b] (does-stuff "yo" b)) ;; a := "yo"
- Treating functions like we treat data gives us programmable power over them

# **Macros and Pattern Matching**

#### • What are they?

- Macros: we can write code to generate code
- Pattern Matching: match data according to patterns!
- Enables writing new grammar and evaluating it with ease, i.e. Interpreter pattern
- Brevity means devs can write more with less time, and the resulting code needs less maintenance
- Pattern match example...

# **Macros and Pattern Matching**

```
(defn message-origin
  [message]
 (match [message]
    [{:parsed {:metadata
               {:customer-id-string _}}] :type1
    [{:parsed {:id _
               :type _
               :critical
               :message _}}] :type2
    [{:parsed _}] :json
    :else :syslog))
```

# **Some Examples of Design Patterns**

# The Façade and Adapter Patterns

- What are they?
  - Hide an existing API by providing a new one on top



# The Façade and Adapter Patterns

#### • When to use them?

- Provide a unified or simplified interface for other code
- *Technical debt wrangling:* standardize an interface so you can refactor the original code behind it

#### • When not to use them?

 Too many layers of indirection from the original API can be fragile

#### • How to use them with FP?

- Just like you would in the OOP world!
- Write modules with public functions instead of classes

```
(defn yucky-API
  [hot dog other-infos] ...)
(defn consumes-yucky-API []
  (yucky-API true true
             {:hot true
              :dog true
              :sundaes "???"}))
(defn nice-API
  "helpful docstring!"
  [other-infos]
  (let [{:keys [hot dog]} other-infos]
```

(yucky-API hot dog other-infos)))

# **The Template Pattern**

#### • What is it?

 Defines the majority of an algorithm in an operation, deferring some steps to subclasses



# The Template Pattern

#### • When to use it?

- Nearly identical data and data operations
- Need to stub out a small amount of functionality

#### • When not to use it?

- Use abstract base classes or similar very sparingly
- In OOP land: prefer composition over inheritance
- How to use it with FP?
  - Pass in stub functions as arguments to common logic instead of implementing stubs on subclasses

(defn common-logic
 [data case-specific-logic]
 ...)

(**defn** data1-specific-logic [args] ...)

(**defn** data2-specific-logic [args] ...)

(for [[data fn] data-and-fns]
 (common-logic data fn))

# **The Strategy Pattern**

- What is it?
  - Conditionally switch algorithms in a given context



# The Strategy Pattern

#### • When to use it?

- Encapsulates dispatching many variants of a similar algorithm
- Feature-flagged functionality
- When not to use it?
  - When strategies fundamentally differ (e.g. return type)
  - Adds complexity and code branching
- How to use it with FP?
  - Pass in algorithm variants as first-order functions

(**defn** strategy1 [args] ...)

(defn strategy2 [args] ...)

(apply-strategy-a [strategy1 strategy2])

# Summary

We covered some design patterns from all three categories!

#### Creational

- Factory
- Singleton

#### Structural

- Adapter
- Façade

#### Behavioural

- Interpreter
- Strategy
- Template

# **Conjecture:** You can use functional programming languages to write industry software.

## Evidence: My team!

~~join the party~~

\*\*THIS ADVICE PROVIDED WITH ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY\*\*

# Thank you!

#### Thanks to: Nik Black, Fatema Boxwala, Shane Wilton, Rackspace

Talk links, references, and resources can be found at https://hashman.ca/osb-2016/

# **Talk References**

 Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, and John Vlissides, Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software (1995)

- Referred to as the "Gang of Four"

 Peter Norvig, "Design Patterns in Dynamic Languages" (1996)

# Image Licenses

- Public Domain
  - Meta-UML Diagram
  - Adapter Pattern UML Diagram
  - Strategy Pattern UML Diagram
- Creative Commons Share Alike 3.0 Unported License
  - UML diagram of composition over inheritance
  - Template Method: UML Class Diagram
  - Facade Design Pattern in UML